PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.	PCT
P.O. BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226-0618	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 22 MAR 2010
Applicant's or agent's file reference 21051-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US 09/05772	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 October 2009 (22.10.2009)
Applicant MIRAMAR LABS, INC.	
	earch report and the written opinion of the International Searching
Authority have been established and are transmitted he Filing of amendments and statement under Article 1 The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the When? The time limit for filing such amendme international search report.	9:
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WI 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile N	
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	e accompanying sheet.
	search report will be established and that the declaration under f the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
	dditional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon happlicant's request to forward the texts of both to	has been transmitted to the International Burcau together with the the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the	he applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders	
International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or p	rity date, the international application will be published by the postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international and Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, ational publication.
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send	the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an be established. These comments would also be made available to e priority date.
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant mu acts for entry into the national phase before those designated	
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months.	months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the <i>Guide</i> , Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet	e applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's site.
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer:
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Lee W. Young
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220
21051-PCT	ACTION	as well	as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/m	onth/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 09/05772	22 October 2009 (22.10.2009)		22 October 2008 (22.10.2008)
Applicant MIRAMAR LABS, INC.			
This international search report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being	en prepared by this International g transmitted to the International	Searching A Bureau.	Authority and is transmitted to the applicant
This international search report consists	•		
It is also accompanied by a	copy of each prior art document	cited in this	report.
1. Basis of the report			
a. With regard to the language, the	e international search was carried	out on the ba	asis of:
the international app	lication in the language in which	it was filed.	
	nternational application intoed for the purposes of internations	al search (Ru	which is the language of les 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
b. This international search r	report has been established taking this Authority under Rule 91 (R	g into accou	nt the rectification of an obvious mistake
			the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were found	d unsearchable (see Box No. II).		
3. Unity of invention is lacki	ing (see Box No. III).		
4. With regard to the title,			
the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant.		
the text has been establishe	ed by this Authority to read as foll	ows:	
5. With regard to the abstract,			
the text is approved as sub	nitted by the applicant.		
the text has been establishe may, within one month from	d, according to Rule 38.2, by this in the date of mailing of this intern	Authority as ational searc	s it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant h report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,			
a. the figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is Fig	ure No. <u>15</u>	
as suggested by the a			
as selected by this Au	athority, because the applicant fai	led to sugges	et a figure.
	athority, because this figure better		
b. none of the figures is to be			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US 09/05772

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: 4-10 and 14-19 because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
See extra sheet
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-3
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (2)) (July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US 09/05772

IPC(8) - USPC -					
	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both n	tional classificat	ion and IPC		
	DS SEARCHED				***************************************
Minimum do USPC : 601/	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by 2	classification sym	bols)		
Documentati USPC: 601/	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the ex /1, 15	ent that such docu	uments are ir	ncluded in the	fields searched
Pubwest (US	ata base consulted during the international search (name of SPAT, PGPUB, JPO, EPO), Google: Applicator, skin, tis oss tangent, permittivity.	data base and, w sue, energy, micr	here practica owave, emit	ble, search tei ter, blo-barrie	rms used) r, dermal, conductivity,
C. DOCUI	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		ODER THE STREET STREET		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the	relevant pas	sages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2001/0050083 A1 (Marchitto et al.) 13 December 2 especially, para [0013], [0110], [0132] and [0134].	001 (13.12.2001)	the entire d	ocument	1, 3/1
Υ	especially, para [0010], [0110], [0102] and [0104].				2, 3/2
Υ	US 5,097,846 A (Larsen) 24 March 1992 (24.03.1992) 64 through col 15, in 30 and Figures 22-24.	the entire docum	ent especial	ly, col 14, In	2, 3/2
Υ	US 6,334,074 B1 (Sperteil) 25 December 2001 (25.12. 7, In 49-53 and Figure 1.	2001) the entire o	locument es	pecially, col	2, 3/2
Α	US 2007/0255355 A1 (Altshuler et al.) 01 November 2	007 (01.11.2007)	the entire do	ocument.	1-3
А	US 2006/0112698 A1 (Cazzini et al.) 01 June 2006 (01	.06.2006) the ent	ire documer	nt.	1-3
Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.				
"A" docume	categories of cited documents: nt defining the general state of the art which is not considered	"T" later docum	ent published of in conflict v	after the interr	national filing date or priority ation but cited to understand
	particular relevance pplication or after the international ate	"X" document of	of particular r	nderlying the in elevance; the consider	claimed invention cannot be cred to involve an inventive
"L" docume cited to	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is establish the publication date of another citation or other	step when t	he document	is taken alone	claimed invention cannot be
•	reason (as specified) nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	considered combined v	to involve a vith one or mo	an inventive s	tep when the document is ocuments, such combination
"P" docume the prio	nt published prior to the international filing date but later than rity date claimed	"&" document n	nember of the	same patent f	amily
Date of the a	ectual completion of the international search	Date of mailing	of the inter	national searc	ch report
26 February	2010 (26.02.2010)		22 N	IAR 20	10
	ailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized of			
P.O. Box 145	T, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents 0, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	PCT Helpdesk: 571-2		e W. Young	
Facsimile No	D. 571-273-3201	PCT OSP: 571-272-77			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US 09/05772

Continuation of Box No. III, Observations where unity of invention is lacking:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group 1 (Claims 1-3) is drawn to a sytem to apply energy to a targeted tissue region comprising an applicator with an energy emitter, and a tissue applicator interface utilizing a bio-barrier system.

Group 2 (Claims 11-13) is drawn to a sytem to apply energy to a targeted tissue region comprising an applicator with an energy emitter, a cooling plate, an applicator controller and sensor, a console, and a special purpose cable system coupling the applicator to the console.

The Inventions listed as Groups 1-2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of the Group 1 claims is a tissue applicator interface utilizing a bio-barrier system; not required by the claims of Group 2.

The special technical feature of the Group 2 claims is an elongated member, a cooling plate, an applicator controller and sensor, a console, and a special purpose cable system coupling the applicator to the console; not required by the claims of Group 1.

The shared technical feature of an applicator with an energy emitter is anticipated by US 2005/0080359 A1 to Zhao et al. (hereinafter Zhao). Zhao teaches an applicator with an energy emitter (Abstract; Fig. 2; para[0034]).

None of these technical features are common to the other groups, nor do they correspond to a special technical feature in the other groups. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (July 2009)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO)PITV			
To: RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION P.O. BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226-0618	N, S.C.		PCT	
WILLYMOREE, WI 33220-0010	,		UTTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
			(PCT Rule 43bis,1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	22 MAR 2010	
A 1' () () (C) (C)		- 		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 21051-PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US 09/05772	22 October 2009 (2	2.10.2009)	22 October 2008 (22.10.2008)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) o IPC(8) - A61H 1/00 (2010.01)	r both national classifica	tion and IPC		
USPC - 601/2				
Applicant MIRAMAR LABS, INC.				
This opinion contains indications rela	nting to the following iter	ns:		
Box No. I Basis of the opi	inion			
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishm	nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity o	f invention			
	ment under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a aplanations supporting su		relty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects	in the international appli	cation		
Box No. VIII Certain observa	ations on the internationa	l application		
2. FURTHER ACTION				
International Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") exce to the chosen IPEA has r	pt that this does not ap notified the Internation	be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority all Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written	
If this opinion is, as provided above, of a written reply together, where approp PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration	priate, with amendments,	before the expiration	the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form rexpires later.	
For further options, see Form PCT/IS	•	,	•	
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US	Date of completion of t	his opinion	Authorized officer:	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	•	•	Lee W. Young	
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	26 February 2010	(26.02.2010)	DOT I have been part of a soon	

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774

Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

International application No. PCT/US 09/05772

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With r	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	X	the international application in the language in which it was filed.
		a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.	With restablis	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been shed on the basis of a sequence listing filed or furnished:
	a. (m	eans)
		on paper in electronic form
	b. (tin	ne)
		in the international application as filed
		together with the international application in electronic form
		subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5.	Additio	nal comments:

International application No. PCT/US 09/05772

Box No. I	II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questi	ons whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially have not been examined in respect of:
1	the entire international application.
X	claims Nos. 4-10 and 14-19
because t	the said international application, or the said claims Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
а	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 4-10 and 14-19 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): ans 4-10, 14-19 are unsearchable as they are improper multiple dependent claims in accordance with PCT Rule 6.4(a).
	he claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported y the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
⊠ n	o international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 4-10 and 14-19
[a	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rule 13ter.1(a) or (b).
s	ee Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.

PCT/US 09/05772

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
paid additional fees
paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with
not complied with for the following reasons:
Group 1 (Claims 1-3) is drawn to a sytem to apply energy to a targeted tissue region comprising an applicator with an energy emitter, and a tissue applicator interface utilizing a bio-barrier system.
Group 2 (Claims 11-13) is drawn to a sytem to apply energy to a targeted tissue region comprising an applicator with an energy emitter, a cooling plate, an applicator controller and sensor, a console, and a special purpose cable system coupling the applicator to the console.
The inventions listed as Groups 1-2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
The special technical feature of the Group 1 claims is a tissue applicator interface utilizing a bio-barrier system; not required by the claims of Group 2.
The special technical feature of the Group 2 claims is an elongated member, a cooling plate, an applicator controller and sensor, a console, and a special purpose cable system coupling the applicator to the console; not required by the claims of Group 1.
The shared technical feature of an applicator with an energy emitter is anticipated by US 2005/0080359 A1 to Zhao et al. (hereinafter Zhao). Zhao teaches an applicator with an energy emitter (Abstract; Fig. 2; para[0034]).
None of these technical features are common to the other groups, nor do they correspond to a special technical feature in the other groups. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-3

International application No.

PCT/US 09/05772

. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 3/2	YES
	Claims	1, 3/1	NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	None	YES
	Claims	1-3	NO NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-3	YES
	Claims	None	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1 and 3/1 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 2001/0050083 A1 to Marchitto et al.(hereinafter 'Marchitto').

Regarding claim 1, Marchitto discloses a system to apply energy to a targeted tissue region (para [0013], Figs.1, 2, 8, 17-19) comprising an applicator (30) including an applicator interior (Figs. 1 and 19) carrying at least one energy emitter (para [0110] and Figs. 1, 2 and 19, laser), and a tissue-applicator interface (68) sized and configured to be attached to the applicator for use in operative association with the energy emitter (para [0131]-[0134], [0141]; Figs, 8, 17-19) and to be detached from the applicator after use (para [0139], container unit is disposable), the tissue-applicator interface comprising a bio-barrier system (82) that, when the tissue-applicator interface is attached to the applicator, isolates the applicator interior from contact with physiologic liquids in the targeted tissue region (para [0134]; Fig. 17, lens 84), the bio-barrier system including a first bio-barrier component having a prescribed conductivity to pass energy from the energy emitter to the targeted tissue region without substantial interference and loss of power (para [0134]).

Regarding claim 3/1, Marchitto further discloses wherein the tissue-applicator interface includes a tissue acquisition chamber (para [0139]; Fig. 17, receptacle 82) that acquires tissue in the targeted tissue region for application of energy in response to negative pressure generated by an external source and conveyed into the tissue acquisition chamber (para [0142] and Figs. 18 and 19) and wherein the biobarrier system includes a second bio-barrier component separate from the first bio-barrier component (para [0142], plug 70), the second bio-barrier being, substantially permeable to air to balance negative pressure between the tissue acquisition chamber and the applicator interior when the tissue-applicator interface is attached to the applicator (para [0142]-[0143], wherein the plug 70 is permeable to gas when perforated while the receptacle 82 is under vacuum), the second bio-barrier component also being substantially impermeable to liquids to isolate the applicator interior from contact with physiologic liquids in the targeted tissue region while balancing the negative pressure (para [0142] and Figs. 18 and 19, wherein the second bio-barrier plug 70 which is impermeable to liquids and gases when in air tight state).

Claims 2 and 3/2 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Marchitto taken with US 6,334,074 B1 to Spertell and US 5,097,846 A to Larsen.

As per claim 2, Marchitto discloses the system according to claim 1, but fails to specifically disclose wherein the prescribed conductivity comprises a loss tangent of not greater than 0.1 in accordance with the equation relation claimed. Spertell discloses a similar system for applying energy to a targeted tissue region comprising an applicator (10) with a microwave energy emitter and a disposable single-use tissue-applicator interface (80) to be attached to the applicator. Further, Larsen discloses a bio-barrier component (400) for use with a microwave emitter, the bio-barrier component having a conductivity comprising a loss tangent of not greater than 0.1 (coi 14, ln 64 - coi 15, ln 30). In light of Marchitto and Spertell, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a bio-barrier system, such as that disclosed in Marchitto, with a microwave energy applicator, such as that disclosed in Spertell, in order to protect the internal components of the applicator from the various fluids at the application site, utilizing a bio-barrier component with a low loss tangent for microwave energy such as that disclosed by Larsen to effectively communicate as much of the energy through the barrier as possible.

Regarding claim 3/2, in the combination of Marchitto, Spertell and Larsen, Marchitto further discloses wherein the tissue-applicator interface includes a tissue acquisition chamber (para [0139]; Fig. 17, receptacle 82) that acquires tissue in the targeted tissue region for application of energy in response to negative pressure generated by an external source and conveyed into the tissue acquisition chamber (para [0142] and Figs. 18 and 19) and wherein the bio-barrier system includes a second bio-barrier component separate from the first blo-barrier component (para [0142], plug 70), the second bio-barrier being, substantially permeable to air to balance negative pressure between the tissue acquisition chamber and the applicator interior when the tissue-applicator interface is attached to the applicator (para [0142]-[0143], wherein the plug 70 is permeable to gas when perforated while the receptacle 82 is under vacuum), the second bio-barrier component also being substantially impermeable to liquids to isolate the applicator interior from contact with physiologic liquids in the targeted tissue region while balancing the negative pressure (para [0142] and Figs. 18 and 19, wherein the second bio-barrier plug 70 whilch is impermeable to liquids and gases when in air tight state).

Claims 1-3 have industrial applicability as defined by PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter can be made or used in industry.